

1 ANDREW THOMAS SINCLAIR (SB # 72681)  
2 CÉSAR J. DEL PERAL (SB # 232140)  
3 SINCLAIR LAW OFFICE  
4 300 Frank H. Ogawa Plaza  
5 Rotunda Building, Suite 160  
6 Oakland, CA 94612  
7 Tel: (510) 465-5300  
8 Fax: (510) 465-5356

6 KATHLEEN V. FISHER (SB # 70838)  
7 AERNE D. WAGNER (SB # 78464)  
8 MATTHEW B. BORDEN (SB # 214323)  
9 CALVO & CLARK LLP  
One Lombard St., 2<sup>nd</sup> Floor  
San Francisco, CA 94111  
Tel: (415) 374-8370  
Fax: (415) 374-8373

10 | Attorneys for Plaintiff

12 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
13 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

15 Karen Moe Humphreys, No. C 04 3808 SI

16 Plaintiff, STIPULATION RE FILING AMENDED  
COMPLAINT

17

18 Regents of the University of California, University  
19 of California, Berkeley, Department of  
20 Intercollegiate Athletics and Recreational Sports,  
Steve Gladstone, in his individual and official  
capacity, and Mark Stevens, in his individual and  
official capacity,

Defendants.

1

23 WHEREAS, at the time of the Case Management Conference on September 2, 2005,  
24 the parties had been informed by in-house counsel for the University that a decision with  
25 respect to Plaintiff's administrative "whistle-blower" complaint would be made by September  
26 15, 2005, and so informed the Court, and

*Humphreys v. Regents of University of California, et al.*  
STIPULATION RE AMENDED COMPLAINT

1 WHEREAS, the Court directed Plaintiff to file her amended complaint by September  
2 30, 2005, and

3 WHEREAS, respective counsel in this action were informed by in-house counsel for  
4 the University this week that a decision on Plaintiff's "whistle-blower" complaint would not  
5 be made until October 7, 2005, and

6 WHEREAS, the parties have worked with in-house counsel for the University to  
7 encourage the University to render an administrative decision as soon as possible and believe,  
8 based on what they have been told, that a decision will be forthcoming on October 7, 2005,  
9 and

10 WHEREAS, Plaintiff cannot allege that she has exhausted administrative remedies in  
11 an amended complaint until the University renders a decision with respect to her “whistle-  
12 blower” complaint,

13 IT IS STIPULATED that Plaintiff may have until October 12, 2005, to file her  
14 amended complaint.

17 PAUL YANG DATE  
18 Lafayette & Kumagai  
Attorneys for Defendants

*Andrew Thomas Sinclair*  
ANDREW THOMAS SINCLAIR DATE  
Sinclair Law Office  
Attorneys for Plaintiff

20 ORDER  
21 Pursuant to the above stipulation of the parties

22 IT IS SO ORDERED

24 || DATED:

